

Redesign of the End Group in the 3.9 GHz LCLS-II cavity

LCLS-II TN-16-04

2/25/2016

A. Lunin, I. Gonin, T. Khabibobulline, N. Solyak



1 ABSTRACT

Development and production of Linac Coherent Light Source II (LCLS-II) is underway. The central part of LCLS-II is a continuous wave superconducting RF (CW SCRF) electron linac. The 3.9 GHz third harmonic cavity similar to the XFEL design will be used in LCLS-II for linearizing the longitudinal beam profile [1]. The initial design of the 3.9 GHz cavity developed for the XFEL project has a large, 40 mm, beam pipe aperture for ensuring a low (< 10⁶) cavity loaded quality factor. It is resulted in dipole HOMs with frequencies nearby the operating mode, which causes difficulties with HOM coupler notch filter tuning. The CW linac operation requires an extra caution in the design of the HOM coupler in order to prevent its possible overheating. In this paper we present the modified 3.9 GHz cavity End Group for meeting to the LCLS-II requirements.

2 INTRODUCTION

A continuous operation regime of the 3.9 GHz LCSL-II accelerating structure at the nominal gradient of 14.9 MV/m sets an extra caution on possible overheating of HOM couplers feedthroughs [2, 3]. The HOM feedthrough coupling antenna is made of a solid Niobium, which doesn't produce significant amount of RF losses until its temperature is kept below critical, but it may initiate a thermal runaway process and end up by a cavity quench due to a leak of an operating mode or a resonant excitation of the cavity HOM spectrum [4]. In order to avoid such a scenario, one has to minimize the antenna RF heating by using smaller antenna tip and increasing the size of the f-part snag. The proposed HOM coupler modification in the 3.9 GHz cavity is illustrated in Figure 1. The height of antenna tip is decreased from 5 mm to 1 mm and the height of the f-part snag is increased to 7.8 mm in order to make a shallow antenna penetration and, thus, to lower a surface magnetic field. The nominal gap between the antenna and the f-part is chosen equal to 0.5 mm.



Figure 1 Proposed modifications of the HOM coupler for the 3.9 GHz cavity: a) original XFEL design and b) LCLS-II design

Another drawback of the original XFEL End Group design is an oversized 40 mm aperture of the beam pipe, which has a cut off frequency of the lowest dipole mode very close to an operating mode. Eventually it makes quite difficult tuning the HOM coupler notch filter in a close proximity of dipole HOMs in the cavity End Group [5]. As a remedy we decided to decrease slightly both apertures of the beam pipe and interconnecting bellows to 38 mm aiming to shift up frequencies of nearby dipole HOMs by at least of 100 MHz. Modified design of the 3.9 GHz cavity End Group is illustrated in Figure 2. The geometry of the cavity end cell remains untouched, while the tapering to a smaller aperture is made within the Nb transition ring.



Figure 2 Design of the 3.9 GHz cavity End Group for LCLS-II

3 OPERATING MODE RF LOSS IN THE END GROUP.

Parameters of operating mode for both designs of 3.9 GHz cavities, XFEL and LCLS-II, are compared in the Table 1. Since only the End Group was modified, there are little changes in the cavity performance.

Table 1 Parameters of operating mode of the 3.9 GHz cavity

Operating Mode Parameters	XFEL	LCLS-II
Frequency, [GHz]	3.9	3.9
Stored Energy, [J]	1	1
R/Q, [Ω]	746	751
Effective Length, [m]	0.346	0.346
Maximum Electric Field on Axis, [MV/m]	25.4	25.3
Accelerating Gradient, [MV/m]	12.36	12.40
Normalized Surface Electric Field	2.25	2.24
Normalized Surface Magnetic Field, [mT/MV/m]	4.90	4.88

A tail of the operating mode decays to the beam pipe and a remnant surface magnetic field causes ohmic losses in the bellows and connecting flanges. We used ANSYS HFSS software for electromagnetic simulations of the 3.9 GHz cavity [6]. A mechanical model of cavity and bellows connection as well as a distribution of the magnetic field penetrating to the beam pipe are shown in Figure 3. The result of local G - factors calculations and associated RF losses in the End Group at the nominal accelerating gradient of 14.9 MV/m are summarized in Table 2. One can see that the length of the cavity End Group is long enough for a substantial attenuation of the magnetic field and the expected operating mode RF loss for a non-plated stainless steel bellows is only an order of tens of milliwatts.



Figure 3 Mechanical 3D model of cavity and bellows connection (left) and operating mode magnetic field decaying to the beam pipe (right)

		RF L	RF Loss, [mW]	
End Group Component	G-Factor	Copper (RRR=15)	Stainless Steel (316LN)	
Bellows Body (Upstream)	2.1x10 ¹¹	0.9	18	
Bellows Body (Downstream)	$1.4 x 10^{11}$	1.4	28	
Bellows Flange (Upstream)	9.2x10 ¹¹	0.2	5	
Bellows Flange (Downstream)	5.6x10 ¹¹	0.3	7	
HOM antenna (XFEL)	3.2x10 ⁸	-	-	
HOM antenna (LCLS-II)	1.7x10 ⁹	_	-	

Table 2 Operating mode RF losses in the End Group at 14.9 MV/n	n gradient
--	------------

In the tuned HOM coupler, the feedthrough antenna with new tip has a higher local G-factor of 1.7×10^9 , comparing to the 3.2×10^8 G-factor of the original design. It is equivalent to a reduction by a factor of five of associated surface RF losses, which makes new HOM coupler better suitable for the CW operation.

4 DIPOLE HOMS SPECTRUM AT THE CAVITY END GROUP

A large beam pipe with an aperture of 40 mm gives an advantage for efficient HOMs damping but, at the same time, it bring frequencies of dipole HOMs close to the cavity operating mode. Maps of electric field for nearby dipole HOMs in the cavity End Group and interconnecting bellows are shown in Figure 4 for the XFEL 3.9 GHz cavity. Properties of first six modes trapped between two cavities are presented in Table 3 based on ANSYS HFSS simulations. External quality factors are calculated for perfectly matched power and HOM couplers. The frequency of the closest dipole mode is only 92 MHz above the operating frequency of 3.9 GHz. Taking into account cavity mechanical tolerances, a further frequency decrease after chemical polishing and low quality factors of dipole modes, it makes a problematic tuning of the HOM coupler notch filter at room temperature.

Mode Number	Frequency [GHz]	Q _{ext}	$(R/Q)_x$ [Ω/mm^2]	$(R/Q)_y$ [Ω/mm^2]
1	3.992	3.6e4	0.06	0.26
2	4.047	8.0e4	0.02	5e-3
3	4.059	2.5e5	5e-3	0.34
4	4.136	5.9e3	1.0	0.96
5	4.135	4.9e3	7.3	3.0
6	4.150	3.3e5	1.2	1.6



Figure 4 Electric fields (log scale) of dipole HOMs in the XFEL 3.9 GHz cavity End Group.

For the LCLS-II linac it is decided to reduce the aperture of a beam pipe to 38 mm. We repeated analysis of the dipole HOMs spectrum for modified geometry of the 3.9 GHz cavity End Group. The results are presented in Table 4 and Figure 5 respectively. In consequence of this, the total number of nearby dipole HOMs is reduced to four only and the frequency of the closest dipole mode is shifted up by 100 MHz comparing to the original XFEL design.

Mode Number	Frequency [GHz]	Qext	$(R/Q)_x$ $[\Omega/mm^2]$	$(R/Q)_y$ $[\Omega/mm^2]$
1	4.092	2.7e4	0.03	0.91
2	4.188	7.4e3	2.2	0.26
3	4.192	6.3e3	4.26	1.2
4	4.197	4.6e5	0.65	0.56



Figure 5 Electric fields (log scale) of dipole HOMs in the LCLS-II 3.9 GHz cavity End Group.

5. TUNING UP THE HOM COUPLER NOTCH FILTER

An experimental scheme of measuring s-parameters of the 3.9 GHz cavity is illustrated in Figure 6. Tuning of the HOM coupler notch filter is a non-trivial procedure, since direct measurements of transmitted signal through HOM ports are overlapped with cavity resonances. Thus, the notch filter maximum rejection is not visible on direct S_{13} and S_{24} curves. The solution is a normalization of HOM port signals to the signal transmitted from the main coupler to the field pick-up probe, which includes all cavity resonances but has no a notch filter on its way.



Figure 6 Scheme of S-parameters measurement in the 3.9 GHz cavity

In order to accurately reproduce the experimental data in simulations we use the scattering matrices decomposition technique [7]. Parameters of the decomposition scheme are presented in Figure 7. The cavity is split on non-resonant sub-components: upstream and downstream End Groups and cavity medium half cells. Individual S-matrix of each sub-component is calculated with ANSYS HFSS and results are transferred to ANSYS Designer for a final concatenation of S-matrices.



Figure 7 Scheme of S-matrix decomposition of the 3.9 GHz 9-cell cavity (up) and corresponding ANSYS Designer model (down)

First, we calculated the resonant properties of the 3.9 GHz notch filter itself. A transmission signal from the coaxial HOM port to the TM_{01} monopole mode in the cavity end cell cross section is shown on the left plot in Figure 8. Based on a previous experience with HOM couplers tuning, tolerances of few MHz was observed for the notch filter central frequency in the XFEL 3.9 GHz cryomodule. Therefore, one can expect up to 1 W average RF power leak from the operating mode through the single HOM at nominal accelerating gradient of 14.9 MV/m (see Figure 8 right plot). A simulated mechanical sensitivity of the notch filter central frequency to a deformation of the HOM coupler end cap is 2.4 MHz/µm. Next the s-matrix of middle half-cells was found assuming there are 17 circular waveguide modes (up to the TM_{02} mode) in the cross section. Finally we simulated signals transmission in the full 9-cell 3.9 GHz structure. The result of ANSYS Designer analysis is shown in Figure 9 for signals transmitted from main coupler and downstream HOM coupler to the field pick-up port. Because the signal from the HOM coupler is heavily suppressed near the operating



 π -mode, it is difficult to find the exact location of the notch filter rejection point.

Figure 8 Passband of the 3.9 GHz notch filter (left) and corresponding power radiated through HOMcoupler at nominal accelerating gradient (right)



Figure 9 Signals transmission in the 3.9 GHz LCLS-II cavity, main coupler to pick-up (blue) and downstream HOM coupler to pick-up (red)



Figure 10 Notch filter passbands in the 3.9 GHz cavity for XFEL (blue) and LCLS-II (red) projects

The reconstructed notch filter passbands are presented in Figure 10 for the XFEL and LCLS-II 3.9 GHz cavities respectively. Evidently the LCLS-II design with a reduced beam pipe aperture has a less influence of nearby dipole modes and, thus, provides a reliable tuning of the sensitive HOM coupler notch filter.

6. TUNING UP THE MAIN COUPLER AND THE FIELD PICK-UP PROBE

A reduced beam pipe aperture changes cavity external couplings with main coupler and pick-up probes. Therefore, we recalculated nominal values of antenna positions for both coaxial inputs in order to satisfy to the LCLS-II specification. Figure 11 shows nominal power coupler antenna positions for original and modified End Groups. In the modified End Group with Ø38 mm beam pipe for the cavity external coupling of 2.5×10^7 the corresponding antenna offset from the cavity axis is 28.5 mm. Comparison of power couplers external quality factors is presented in Figure 12 for both XFEL and LCLS-II designs.



Figure 11 Nominal power coupler antenna positions in the 3.9 GHz cavity for XFEL (left) and LCLS-II (right) projects



Figure 12 Power coupler external quality factors for original (green) and modified (red) beam pipe apertures

A signal from the cavity field pick-up probe has to be strong enough for a reliable detection including all RF losses in the following coaxial cables and, at the same time, shouldn't cause cables overheating at CW regime. Thus, the maximum output RF power of 0.5 W is chosen as a compromise value for the cavity pick-up probe at operating gradient of 14.9 MV/m. The corresponding external quality factor is:

$$Q_{EXT_PU} = \omega_0 (G_{NOM}/G_0)^2 / P_{MAX} \approx 7.5 * 10^{10}$$
(1)

where G_0 is the cavity accelerating gradient at 1 J stored energy (see Table 1). The mechanical layout of field pick-up probe with dimensions is shown in Figure 13. The most uncertainty comes from a radius of the cylindrical pullout forming the outer wall of a coaxial port. The pullout radius depends on a technology and

tooling used for a cavity fabrication and may vary. Sensitivities of the field probe external coupling to antenna offset are presented in Figure 14 for different pullout radiuses of 1 mm, 3 mm and 6 mm. Since the external coupling varies by almost one order of magnitude, we measured the pick-up probe pullout radius in the spare 3.9 GHz cavity produced for the XFEL project and found it is close to the 3 mm. Finally the nominal field pick-up probe offset is chosen to be 22.4 mm in the LCLS-II 3.9 GHz cavity.



Figure 13 Mechanical layout of the field pick-up probe (right) and nominal antenna offsets for various pullout radiuses (left)



Figure 14 Field pick-up probe external quality factor for different pullout radiuses.

7. CONCLUSIONS

The redesign of the 3.9 GHz cavity End Group is completed. Reduced beam pipe aperture simplifies the HOM coupler notch filter tuning, while modifications of the HOM coupler f-part and the antenna reduce RF losses in the HOM feedthrough making it suitable for CW operation of the LCLS-II linac. Finally we tuned up external quality factors of power coupler and field pick-up probe in order to satisfy to the LCLS-II specifications.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] LCLS-II Final Design Report, LCLSII-1.1-DR-0251-R0 SLAC, 2015
- [2] SCRF 3.9 GHz Cryomodule Physics Requirements Document, LCLSII-4.1-PR-0097-R2, SLAC, 2016
- [3] T. Khabiboulline, et al., "3.9 GHz Superconducting Accelerating 9-cell Cavity Vertical Test Results", WEPMN111, Proceedings of PAC07, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA, 2007

- [4] M. Awida, et al., "On the Design of Higher Order Mode Antennas for LCLS II', MOPP046, LINAC'14, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014
- [5] T. Khabiboulline, private communications.
- [6] http://www.ansys.com/Products/Electronics/ANSYS-HFSS
- [7] K. Gupta, R. Garg, R. Chadha, "Computer aided design of microwave circuits", Artech House, Dedham, 1981