### FACET-II PAC Meeting, Oct. 25-27, 2022

# **E-336 FY22 Progress and Plans for FY23** Feasibility studies of the FACET-II beam interaction with nanotube materials

Principal Investigators: Sébastien Corde and Toshiki Tajima

## E336 - Science goals and definition of success

- Science goals
  - nanotarget interaction and of beam-induced wakefields in nanotargets
  - Observation of electron beam nano-modulation
  - Observation of betatron X-ray radiation
  - Confirmation of simulation models
- Definition of success:
  - solid targets in comparison to amorphous targets (1.5 years)
  - modulation (3 years dependent on delivered beam parameters)

Proof-of-principle experiment - demonstrate feasibility of the study of beam-

• Evidence for clearly distinguishable interaction of FACET-II beam with structured

Systematic parametric study of beam-nanotarget interaction for various sample thickness, pore diameter, material type, and beam parameters, and comparison/ validation against theory, to support signature and evidence of beam nano-



## E336 - Experimental timeline

- Since spring 2022:
  - Experimental safety review carried out

  - Mount with fine angular control installed Nanotargets installed and beam damage tested
- Timeline going forward:
  - Phase 1 FY23: angular readback for the mount on every shot, invasive diagnostics for absolute angular alignment, first tests of beam-nanotarget interaction.
  - Phase 2 FY24-25: iterate to improve/upgrade experimental hardware, advanced characterization of beam-nanotarget interaction with full set of sample and with improved FACET-II beam parameters
  - Phase 3 (conditional): going from transverse wakefields and beam dynamics to longitudinal wakefields





#### **Experimental layout**



### **Diagnostics and observables**

Main observables:

- Growth of transverse momentum spread
- Beam kicks for tilted nanotargets
- X rays and gamma rays



#### **Electrons:**

- Coherent OTR prevents the use of profile monitors downstream of IP
- High-resolution in-vacuum OTR at the dump table (DTOTR)

#### Gammas:

-  $\gamma$  screens at the dump table and Gamma Detection Chamber (UCLA)



#### Beam direction

- Mounting and angular control for nanotarget in the Picnic Basket chamber:
  - Decided to modify E305 target mount in the least invasive way to other experiments
  - Angular control is obtained using tip/tilt pico motors on a mirror mount on which the samples are installed
  - The set-up fulfils E336 requirements (from last PAC: angular requirements = 10-20  $\mu$ rad precision and 2-3 degrees range; positioning requirements = 10-100  $\mu$ m precision and 5 cm range)





Installed and tested/ validated July 19, 2022



6

- Tested beam damage of nanotargets
  - Sample installed: 1-mm thick, 6 micron-diameter nanotubes made in lead glass
  - 2 hours of E336 beamtime on August 1, 2022 to test sending beam into nanotargets and study damage
  - Irradiated two positions, then re-optimized L2 phase for best drilling/compression (Al 0.1 mm drilled in 3 min at 10 Hz), and then tested again Al 1 mm and nanotarget in optimized conditions.
  - Although damage is observed, nanotarget is fairly resistant:

nanotarget: 15% decrease in 15 min Al 1 mm: 50% decrease in 15 min

with beam at 10 Hz





• 2D PIC simulation campaign for E336 - modelling beam ionization of non-conducting material



- Beam self fields are strong enough to ionize.
- Partially-ionized plasma can screen beam self fields, thereby limiting further ionizing.

This self-consistent dynamics results in a few percent of ionization, enough to enable the nanotube plasma response responsible for the transverse beam dynamics and nano-modulation.

The beam gets nano-modulated similarly to the case where a plasma is initialized directly.









- straightforward signature of the beam-nanotarget interaction.
- The maximum kick of 0.75 mrad is reached for a tilt of 1.5 mrad.

The beam is kicked when the nanotarget is tilted, providing a powerful mean to fine tune alignment and a





### E336 - Plans for FY23

- Plans for E336 experimental set-up:
  - Angular readback for the mount on every shot; design on-going with an alignment laser diode to be coupled in and out of the PB chamber
  - Invasive diagnostics for absolute angular alignment; uses green diode aligned to beam vector (beam off) and allows to tune for retro-reflection from the nanotarget
  - Both diagnostics were already reviewed in the E336 experimental safety review.
- Plans for E336 shifts:
  - Full 2D angular scan of the sample using the tip/tilt picomotors, looking for beam kicks.
  - Characterize beam-nanotarget interaction once angular alignment is achieved, compare to amorphous material.



10

### **Facility upgrades**

Desired facility upgrades:

E336 benefits from the highest bunch densities and smallest emittances.

- The charge per tube goes as  $Q/\sigma_r^2$  (areal charge density), and the scale of the transverse force acting on beam particles goes as  $n_b d$  (with d the nanotube diameter).

- The emittance acts against beam transverse modulation, with an effective force in the envelope equation going as  $\epsilon_n^2/d^3$  which must be small compared to the force from the nanotube plasma response.

Example: for  $d = 0.3 \ \mu m$  and 10  $\mu m$  beam size, 50 kA and 5 mm.mrad works, 20 kA and 20 mm.mrad doesn't.







## **Collaboration and publications**

#### **Collaboration and institutions:**

- UC Irvine: Peter Taborek and Toshiki Tajima
- Fermilab: Henryk Piekarz and Vladimir Shiltsev
- SLAC: Robert Ariniello, Henrik Ekerfelt, Mark Hogan, and Doug Storey
- **CEA**: Xavier Davoine and Laurent Gremillet
- **IST:** Bertrand Martinez
- **INFN**: Alexei Sytov and Laura Bandiera

Channeling Acceleration in Crystals and Nanostructures and Studies of Solid Plasmas: New Opportunities

#### Publications and conferences:

White paper for Snowmass in AF6 Advanced Accelerator Concepts arXiv:2203.07459

E336 abstracts submitted to AAC'22 (BNL, Nov 6-11, 2022): by Robert Ariniello (exp) to WG4 and by Alexander Knetsch (th/sim) to WG2

• IP Paris/LOA: Sébastien Corde, Max Gilljohann, Yuliia Mankovska, Pablo San Miguel Claveria, and Alexander Knetsch

Robert Ariniello<sup>1</sup>, Sebastien Corde<sup>2</sup>, Xavier Davoine<sup>3</sup>, Henrik Ekerfelt<sup>4</sup>, Frederico Fiuza<sup>4</sup>, Max Gilljohann<sup>2</sup>, Laurent Gremillet<sup>3</sup>, Yuliia Mankovska<sup>2</sup>, Henryk Piekarz<sup>5</sup>, Pablo San Miguel Claveria<sup>2</sup>, Vladimir Shiltsev<sup>5</sup>, Peter Taborek<sup>6</sup>, and Toshiki  $Tajima^6$ 

12

# Thank you for your attention