#### Emittance Growth Measurement of a 20 GeV beam with FACET I Magnet Config

Navid Vafaei-Najafabadi



FAR BEYOND



#### Models Evolution of Twiss Parameters

From Transfer Matrix to evolution of Twiss parameters

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} C & S \\ C' & S' \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \beta_f \\ \alpha_f \\ \gamma_f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C^2 & -2CS & S^2 \\ -CC' & CS' + SC' & -SS' \\ C'^2 & -2C'S' & S'^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_i \\ \alpha_i \\ \gamma_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Free Propagation in Space:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_f \\ x'_f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & L \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ x'_i \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \beta_f \\ \alpha_f \\ \gamma_f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2L & L^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -L \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_i \\ \alpha_i \\ \gamma_i \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\epsilon_n$ ,  $\sigma_r$ , lpha and distance of Be foil from downramp are optimized to produce the fit





## Models

Plasma Ramp: Continuous focusing element with

$$k = k_{beta} = \frac{\omega_p}{c\sqrt{2\gamma}}$$

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(k \, dz) & \frac{1}{k}\sin(k \, dz) \\ -k\sin(k \, dz) & \cos(k \, dz) \end{bmatrix}$$



Quadrupole Focusing,  $k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Lf}}$ Quadrupole Focusing,  $k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Lf}}$ Quadrupole Focusing,  $k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Lf}}$  $R = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\sqrt{k}L) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\sin(\sqrt{k}L) \\ -\sqrt{k}\sin(\sqrt{k}L) & \cos(\sqrt{k}L) \end{bmatrix}$ Be/Al foil: Multiple Scattering Angle  $\Delta \theta^*$  $\epsilon_f = \sqrt{\epsilon_i (\epsilon_i + \beta_i \Delta \theta^2)}$ QS1  $\beta_f = \frac{\epsilon_i \beta_i}{\sqrt{\epsilon_i (\epsilon_i + \beta_i \Delta \theta^2)}}$  $\alpha_f = \frac{\epsilon_i \alpha_i}{\sqrt{\epsilon_i (\epsilon_i + \beta_i \Delta \theta^2)}} \qquad \gamma = \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\beta} \qquad \text{QS2} \qquad R = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(\sqrt{k}L) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sinh(\sqrt{k}L) \\ -\sqrt{k} \sinh(\sqrt{k}L) & \cosh(\sqrt{k}L) \end{bmatrix}$ 

\* $\Delta\theta$ = 8.3 µrad for 75 µm Be window and 134 mrad for 5 mm Al

#### YOND





- Energy slices equivalent except for energy
- Elements affecting beam size measurement
  - Plasma down-ramp
  - 75 µm Be window
  - Two Quadrupole magnets (QS1,QS2)
  - 5 mm Al window
  - $\epsilon_n$ ,  $\sigma_r$ ,  $\alpha$  and optimized to produce the fit

100 200 300 400 500

pixels

30.35

28.35

26.35

(Aeg) (Aeg)

22.35

20.35

18.35

16.35

14.35



# Drive Beam Emittance at ~15 GeV



- Energy slices equivalent except for energy
- Elements affecting beam size measurement
  - Plasma down-ramp
  - 75 µm Be window
  - Two Quadrupole magnets (QS1,QS2)
  - 5 mm Al window
- $\epsilon_n$ ,  $\sigma_r$ ,  $\alpha$  and optimized to produce the fit





# Measuring Emittance of a Beam Accelerated to 20 GeV

Configuration

- Magnet/foil locations: Same as FACET I
- Quad strengths: 258.03,-172.06.
  - Calculated for imaging at 20.35 GeV, using one of the DAQ functions.\*
- Energy for trailing electron beam: 20 GeV ± 1 GeV
- Butterfly Profile is plotted for different normalized emittance values and for different initial beam sizes

\*Image plane at ELANEX (z=2015.22), object plane at 12 cm upstream of the exit of the 1.5 m lithium oven (z=1997.85) — same config as last 1.5 m positron run





#### Emittance of 20 GeV Beam From the End of the Matching Section



In a 1 GeV window, the different cases within a factor of two can be distinguished relatively easily





### Emittance of 20 GeV Beam With FACET I Lithium Ramp





# Highly Unmatched Beams in Plasma







### Butterfly Feature for 4µm Initial Size

Butterfly for variation in  $\epsilon_n$ , with  $\sigma_r=4\mu m$  inside plasma



Beams of Various emittance below 21 GeV are very difficult to distinguish





## Conclusions

- An optimization routine is used to estimate the parameters of the beam consistent with the beam size observed in a high resolution spectrometer
- •Emittance of injected beam from E217 is estimated at 5 mm-mrad, much smaller than the drive beam
- •The imaging spectrometer can be used to measure the emittance growth of the witness beam in the two bunch experiment in FACET II to accuracy of tens of percent
- •The more mismatched the beam is, the harder it is to measure emittance growth



#### The End



### Checking Optimization Variation



Variation of  $\chi^2$  attains a minimum for all three parameters  $\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(\sigma_{opt} - \sigma_{obs})^2}{\sigma_{obs}^2}$ 

