

# **FACET-II Design Update**

2016 FACET-II Science Workshop October 17 - 19, 2016, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory

Glen White







# **Planning for FACET-II as a Community Resource**



# **FACET-I Experience**

SLAC

Typical requirements for FACET experiments @ 3.2 nC (20.35 GeV) e<sup>-</sup> & e<sup>+</sup>

- $\sigma_x, \sigma_y \sim 20-30 \text{ um}$
- $\sigma_z \sim 20-30$  um (compressed)
  - δ<sub>E</sub> ~ 1.4% rms
- $\sigma_z \sim 60$  um (un-compressed)
  - δ<sub>E</sub> <1% rms

# Need to control dispersion, coupling, focal waist position

- 4 sextupoles on movers
- 2 skew quadrupoles
- For high energy spread:
- chromaticity and second-order dispersion compensation by sextupoles

FACET represented a beam dynamics challenge with simultaneous transverse and longitudinal phase space requirements and user-driven flexibility requirements



# **FACET-II Key Performance Parameters**

SLAC

| Description of Scope                | Units    | Threshold<br>KPP | <i>Objective</i><br><i>KPP</i> |
|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Beam Energy                         | [GeV]    | 9                | 10                             |
| Bunch Charge (e-/e+)                | [nC]     | 0.1/0.1          | 2/1                            |
| Normalized Emittance in S19 (e-/e+) | [µm-rad] | 50/50            | 20/20                          |
| Bunch Length (e-/e+)                | [µm]     | 100/100          | 20/20                          |

#### Threshold KPPs

 Minimum parameters against which the project's performance is measured when complete

#### **Objective KPPs**

Desired operating parameters which may be achieved during steady operation

Baseline design allows for objective key performance parameters specified by science program

# FACET-II Stage 1 FY17-19

- Goal: Deliver compressed electron beam from S10 to experiments in S20
- Major upgrade: Electron beam photoinjector in Sector 10
- **Scope:** Injector, shielding wall in S10, bunch compressors in S11 (BC11) and S14 (BC14), beam diagnostics



### **Electron Injector**

SLAC



- NLCTA ("Orion") RF Gun, E<sub>0</sub>=90MV/m
- L0 accelerates to 135 MeV
- LH chicane off project, space reserved
- 35<sup>o</sup> bend into main linac L1 @ Sector 11
- Q < 5 nC, <300 A peak current
- Design: γε<sub>x</sub> = 3 μm-rad @ 2 nC, 240 A
- Emittance compensation design using IMPACT-T
- Beam distribution from IMPACT-T simulation used to assess
  FACET-II performance in tracking model



Design of the Injector Complex up to BC11 based on LCLS Sector 20 injector

# **Electron Injector Optimization & Simulation**

-SLAC

|  |                                | Tracking Simulation Resu |      |               | Results       |              |              |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Parameter  | Symbol                         | Unit                     | Req. | Orion         | Orion +<br>LH | LCLS         | LCLS +<br>LH |
| Peak current at<br>injector exit                     | I <sub>pk</sub>                | kA                       | -    | 0.24          | 0.24          | 0.36         | 0.33         |
| Peak current at<br>Sector 20 IP                      | I <sub>pk</sub>                | kA                       | >10  | 70            | 36            | 95           | 56           |
| Bunch length after injector (rms)                    | σ <sub>z</sub>                 | μm                       | -    | 838           | 839           | 617          | 618          |
| Bunch length at<br>Sector 20 IP (core<br>rms)        | σz                             | μm                       | <20  | 1.8           | 4.3           | 1.5          | 2.8          |
| Transverse<br>emittance after<br>injector (90%)      | γε <sub>x,y</sub>              | µm-rad                   | -    | 2.9           | 2.9           | 3.0          | 3.0          |
| Transverse<br>emittance into<br>Sector 19 (90%)      | γε <sub>x,y</sub>              | µm-rad                   | <20  | 3.9           | 3.3           | 4.0          | 3.5          |
| Tranverse beam<br>size at Sector 20<br>IP (core rms) | σ <sub>x</sub> ,σ <sub>y</sub> | μm                       | <20  | 17.7,<br>12.2 | 16.1,<br>11.9 | 17.5,<br>9.8 | 16.5,<br>9.9 |

LH = "laser heater"

#### All options meet KPP requirements Increased longitudinal brightness possible with LCLS gun



- ε-compensation optimization & tracking with IMPACT-T & Lucretia
- Optimize:
  - Gun Sol
  - Gun RF phase
  - Cathode-L0a drift
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> solenoid

#### **Baseline FACET-II Electron Design Parameters**

SLAC



Compression scheme design satisfies KPP's, flexibility to satisfy all planned experimental activities - verified with tracking simulations

### Main Linac Layout & Bunch Compressors



- Sector 20 operations 4.0-13.5 GeV possible (10 GeV design)
- Spare klystrons, feedback and TCAV diagnostics stations included in design

Layout of Linac Sectors 11-19 to meet required flexibility of experimental program

SLAO

# FACET-II Stage 2 FY17-20

- Goal: Deliver compressed electron beam from S10 to experiments in S20
- Major upgrade: Positron damping ring
- Scope: Damping ring, positron bunch compressor & return line



# **FACET-II Pulse Structure (Stage-II)**



 Electron "scavenger" pulse pulled off in S19 by existing fast kicker to generate e+ bunch

#### Two bunches per pulse shared in L2 and L3 for Stage 2 operations

### **Positron Damping Ring in Sector 10**



- 2.9 m diameter ring
- Vertical injection & extraction
- SLC septa, kickers & RF
- New combined-function arc magnet designs

CAD drawing of Damping Ring layout & integration in sector 10

#### **Baseline FACET-II Positron Parameters**



Compression scheme designed to satisfy objective KPP, verified with tracking simulations

### **Monte Carlo Simulation Including Errors**

SLAC

| KPP Parameter                 | Electron Bunch |             | Positron Bunch |              |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
|                               | Design Req.    | Simulation  | Design Req.    | Simulation   |
| ε <sub>x</sub> (μm-rad) [S19] | <20            | 4.4 +/- 0.5 | <20            | 10.7 +/- 0.7 |
| ε <sub>y</sub> (μm-rad) [S19] | <20            | 3.3 +/- 0.1 | <20            | 13.0 +/- 1.2 |
| σ <sub>z</sub> (μm) [IP]      | <20            | 3.1 +/- 1.5 | <20            | 16.5 +/- 0.2 |
| I <sub>pk</sub> (kA) [IP]     | >10            | 64 +/- 16   | >5             | 5.8 +/- 0.2  |

A MC simulation including all known error sources was performed – KPP Design parameters are met with expected error tolerances

**Configuration for 2-Bunch (e-) Experiments:** 

# Request:

- 2 Bunches: Drive + Witness,  $\Delta t = 250$  fs [~75 µm]
- Drive Bunch:
  - Q = 1.6 nC
  - I<sub>pk</sub> > 15 kA
  - $\gamma \epsilon_{x,y} < 10 \ \mu m$ -rad
- Witness Bunch:
  - Q = 0.5 nC
  - $I_{pk} > 7.5 \text{ kA}$
  - $\gamma \epsilon_{x,y} < 10 \ \mu m$ -rad
- I<sub>pk</sub> Drive:Witness = 2:1

### **Longitudinal Bunch Profile Definition @ Laser Heater**



| Property                     | Drive Bunch   | Witness Bunch |  |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Q / nC                       | 1.6           | 0.5           |  |
| δ <sub>E</sub> / Ε (%        | 0.08          | 0.08          |  |
| uncorrel.)                   |               |               |  |
| Shape                        | Top-hat, ramp | Top-hat, ramp |  |
| Ramp Time / μs               | 10            | 10            |  |
| L / mm                       | 1.0           | 0.375         |  |
| <ez> r<sub>correl</sub></ez> | -0.45         | 0.4           |  |
| dz / mm                      | 1.62          |               |  |

Highlighted boxes are optimized values

# Particle Tracking @ E200 IP



Linac RF Phase Settings L1  $\phi$  = -18.0<sup>0</sup> L2  $\phi$  = -38.0<sup>0</sup>  $\Delta t$  @ IP = 250 fs BC11 & BC14 unchanged

#### S20 Notch Collimator (100 pC)

| Parameter @<br>IP                 | No COLL |         | S20 Notch COLL |         |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|
|                                   | Drive   | Witness | Drive          | Witness |
| Q / nC                            | 1.6     | 0.5     | 1.5            | 0.5     |
| $\delta_{\rm E}$ / E (% rms)      | 0.24    | 0.24    | 0.16           | 0.25    |
| I <sub>pk</sub> / kA              | 32      | 16      | 34             | 16      |
| γε <sub>y</sub> / μm-rad          | 3.4     | 3.2     | 3.3            | 3.2     |
| γε <sub>x</sub> / μm-rad          | 6.4     | 7.8     | 5.6            | 7.8     |
| γε <sub>x</sub> / μm-rad<br>(90%) | 5.7     | 6.1     | 5.1            | 6.1     |

# **Dynamic Errors (100 Monte Carlo Seeds)**

-SLAC

| Property                      | Value                 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Source Charge Fluctuation     | 1% (e-) 2% (e+)       |
| Source Position Fluctuation   | 0.05 σ <sub>x/y</sub> |
| Initial Electron Laser Timing | 200 fs                |
| L1X Phase Jitter              | 0.25 degX             |
| L1S Phase Jitter              | 0.1 degS              |
| L2 Phase Jitter               | 0.25 degS             |
| L3 Phase Jitter               | 0.25 degS             |
| LOP Phase Jitter              | 0.1 degS              |
| L1X Amplitude Jitter          | 0.25 %                |
| L1S Amplitude Jitter          | 0.1 %                 |
| L2, L3, LOP Amplitude Jitter  | 0.25 %                |
| BCO Magnet Strength Jitter    | 1e-5 dB/B             |
| BC1 Magnet Strength Jitter    | 1e-5 dB/B             |
| BC2 Magnet Strength Jitter    | 1e-4 dB/B             |
| BC3 Magnet Strength Jitter    | 1e-4 dB/B             |
| Magnet Vibration (x/y)        | 1.5 / 0.5 um          |
| Magnet Vib. (PEC) (x/y)       | 0.4 / 0.2 um          |

#### No Collimation

| Parameter @ IP                | Drive Bunch  | Witness<br>Bunch |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| ε <sub>x</sub> (μm-rad) (90%) | 7.2 +/- 1.6  | 7.4 +/- 2.0      |
| ε <sub>y</sub> (μm-rad) (90%) | 3.2 +/- 0.1  | 3.0 +/- 0.1      |
| ∆t (fs)                       | 243 +,       | /- 45            |
| l <sub>pk</sub> (kA)          | 35.5 +/- 6.6 | 16.1 +/- 0.6     |

### **Dynamic Errors (100 Monte Carlo Seeds)**



#### **Tracked Particles @ S20 IP with Collimation**



20

### Current S20 BC20E (W) Chicane @ r56=+5mm

-SLAC



# **New BC20E Layout Option**



CSR emittance growth properties should be better, fewer magnets, simpler lattice, lower beta functions

R56 = +4.15 mm (adjustable)

 $\Delta S = 49.088 \text{ m}$ ;  $\Delta z = 49.0773 \text{ m}$  ( $\Delta z == \text{Current S20 W-chicane}$ ) ( $\Delta s = +4.3 \text{ mm}$ )

 NOT compatible with current e+ sailboat (need 5.24cm path length difference BC20E-BC20P)

9 Quadrupoles & 4 bends

Possibility to reduce  $\Delta z$  enough to move XTCAV to common line after BC1-R?

SLAO

# **FACET-II Pulse Structure (Stage-II)**



 Electron "scavenger" pulse pulled off in S19 by existing fast kicker to generate e+ bunch

#### Two bunches per pulse shared in L2 and L3 for Stage 2 operations

# **FACET-II Pulse Structure (Stage III)**



witness bunch ~200  $\mu$ m behind e- drive bunch

#### Sailboat chicane design goals:

- 5.27 cm (175 ps) path length difference
- Simultaneous focusing solutions for e- and e+

Three bunches per pulse shared in L2 and L3 for Phase-III operations

# **Sector 20 Sailboat Chicane Overview**

BC20P <sup>1.2</sup>–12 Dipoles 9 Quads <sup>1</sup>-6 Sextupoles 0.8 0.6 m/ X 0.4 e+ 0.2 0 -0.2 e BC3E -0.4 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 Z/m

2016 FACET-II Science Workshop

#### **BC20P "Flying Saucer" Chicane**

#### 1.2 $\Delta S = 5.24 \text{ cm} (175 \text{ ps})$ 1 BC20P R<sub>56</sub> = +5 mm BC20P phase-adjust chicanes switched 0.8 OFF here, assumed not used 0.6 Adjust ΔS by changing E<sub>e</sub> vs. E<sub>p</sub> 0.4 0.2 X/m -0.2 -0.4 -0.6 -0.8 1935 1945 1950 1955 1970 1975 1930 1940 1960 1965 Z/m

2016 FACET-II Science Workshop